JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ, ESQ. (SBN 278028) 2 jonathan.a.stieglitz@gmail.com THE LAW OFFICES OF 3 JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ 11845 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 750 4 Los Angeles, California 90064 Telephone: (323) 979-2063 Facsimile: (323) 488-6748 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Docket No.: 11 KAREN TOMLIN. **COMPLAINT** for violations of the 12 Plaintiff, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq. and Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 and 13 VS. CREDITORS SPECIALTY SERVICE. 14 INC., 15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Defendant 16 17 Plaintiff Karen Tomlin ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The Law 18 Offices of Jonathan A. Stieglitz, as and for her Complaint against Defendant 19 20 Creditors Specialty Service, Inc. ("Defendant"), respectfully sets forth, complains 21 and alleges, upon information and belief, the following: 22 23 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 24 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as 25 26 well as 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. The Court also has 27 28

pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).
- 3. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory and injunctive relief arising from the Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq., commonly known as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), and 47 U.S.C § 227, commonly known as the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA").

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California, residing in the County of Los Angeles.
- 5. Defendant is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C.
- § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA, with an address at 32023 Crown Valley Rd., Acton CA 93510.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

- 7. On information and belief, on a date better known to Defendant, Defendant began collection activities on an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff ("Alleged Debt").
- 8. The Alleged Debt was incurred as a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

#### **Collection Calls**

- 9. During the course of the past year, Plaintiff began to receive collection calls from Defendant on her cell phone.
- 10.Plaintiff never authorized Defendant or any creditor to call her cell phone.
- 11. During collection of the debt, collectors from Defendant's offices harassed Plaintiff with threatening phone calls and voicemails, specifically threatening legal action when the Defendant is neither an attorney nor the owner of this debt.
- 12. Collection in this fashion is nothing more than an attempt to harass the debtor.
- 13. These calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were collection communications in violation of numerous provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(8), 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692f(1).

14. These calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were collection communications in violation of numerous provisions of the TCPA, including but not limited to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B).

15.Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications by these Defendants in the form of anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration, upset, humiliation, embarrassment, amongst other negative emotions.

16.As a result of Defendant's improper debt collection practices described above, Plaintiff has been damaged.

### Respondeat Superior Liability

17. The acts and omissions of the debt collectors employed as agents by Defendant who communicated with Plaintiff as described herein, were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant.

18. The acts and omissions by the other debt collectors were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities these agents were authorized to perform by Defendant in collecting consumer debts.

19.By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, the debt collectors were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant.

20.Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiffs through the Doctrine of Respondent Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of state and federal law by these individual Defendant and its collection employees, including but not limited to violations of the FDCPA, RFDCPA, and TCPA, in their attempts to collect this debt from Plaintiff.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the FDCPA)

21.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

22.Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards
Plaintiff violate various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to
15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e(2), 1692e(5), 1692e(8), and 1692f.

23.As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the RFDCPA)

24.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

25. The RFDCPA requires debt collectors to comply with the FDCPA, and a violation of the FDCPA is also a violation of the RFDCPA. Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

26. The remedies under the FDCPA and RFDCPA are cumulative, and Plaintiff is entitled to damages under both acts.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully and knowingly committed the violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA described herein, and is entitled to statutory damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b).

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the TCPA)

28.Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in all of the paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

29. The TCPA prohibits a person from making any non-emergency call using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone phone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b), See 47 CFR 64.1200 (a)(1).

30.Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system when it called Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A), 47 CFR 64.1200 (a)(1).

- 31.Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice when it called Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 32.Defendant willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, entitling Plaintiff to three times the damages available under 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B).

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

33. Plaintiff demands and hereby respectfully requests a trial by jury for all claims and issues this complaint to which Plaintiff is or may be entitled to a jury trial.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment from the Defendant as follows:

- a) For actual damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);
- b) For statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- c) For attorney fees and costs provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c);
- d) For an award of damages consistent with the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5)(B);

1	d) A declaration that the Defendant's practices violated the FDCPA,		
2	TCPA, and the RFDCPA; and		
3	,		11 6 4
4	e)	For any such other and further relief	, as well as further costs, expenses
5	and disbursements of this action as this Court may deem just and proper.		
6			
7	Dated: Oc	ctober 28, 2015	
8			THE LAW OFFICES OF
9			JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ
10		By:	/s/ Jonathan A Stieglitz
11			Jonathan A Stieglitz
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			